### \*\*\*\*\*CONFIDENTIAL\*\*\*\*PRE-DECISIONAL DOCUMENT\*\*\*\*\*

#### SITE REEVALUATION WORKSHEET

Site Name:

Protomold Mfg. CAD 982359101

EPA ID No.:

F9-8905-016

City:

San Jose

County:

Santa Clara

Site Evaluator:

Yoon K. Toh, ICF Technology, Incorporated

Date:

September 20, 1989

#### **POTENTIAL RELEASES**

[ X ]	Ground Water
	Surface Water
	Air
ĪĪ	On-site/Direct Contact

SCORING SCENARIOS	Best Case	Worst Case
GROUND-WATER ROUTE SCORE (Sgw) =	32.20	46.05
SURFACE WATER ROUTE SCORE (Sw) =	0	0
AIR ROUTE SCORE (Sa) =	0	0
TOTAL SCORE (Sm) =	18.60	26.62

### PROPOSED REVISED HRS MODEL CONSIDERATIONS

GROUND-WATER ROUTE: An increase in migration route from three to four miles will not significantly change the target population.

SURFACE WATER ROUTE: There is no information indicating that a potential for a release via this route exists.

AIR ROUTE: There is no information indicating that a potential for a release via this route exists.

ON-SITE ROUTE: There is no information indicating that a potential for a release via this route exists.

# \*\*\*\*\*\*CONFIDENTIAL\*\*\*\*PRE-DECISIONAL DOCUMENT\*\*\*\*\*

# GROUND-WATER ROUTE WORKSHEET

	Best Case	Worst Case	Ref.	Conf.
1. OBSERVED RELEASE	0	0		
2. ROUTE CHARACTERISTICS				
DEPTH TO AQUIFER OF CONCERN (×2)	4	4		
NET PRECIPITATION	2	2		· 
PERMEABILITY OF UNSATURATED ZONE	1	1		
PHYSICAL STATE	3	3		: ·
ROUTE CHARACT. SCORE =	10	10	ua	
3. CONTAINMENT	3	3		· .
4. WASTE CHARACTERISTICS:				
TOXICITY/PERSISTENCE	12	12	· 	<u>K</u>
HAZARDOUS WASTE	2	2		<u>K</u>
WASTE CHARACT. SCORE =	14	14		<u>K</u>
5. TARGETS:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
GROUND-WATER USE (×3)	9	9		<u>K</u>
DISTANCE TO NEAREST WELL/ POPULATION SERVED	35	35		<u>K</u>
TOTAL TARGETS SCORE =	44	44		<u>K</u>
GROUND-WATER ROUTE SCORE =	32.20	46.05	•	<u>K</u>

# \*\*\*\*\*\*CONFIDENTIAL\*\*\*\*PRE-DECISIONAL DOCUMENT\*\*\*\*\*\*

# SURFACE WATER ROUTE WORKSHEET

	Best Case	Worst Case	Ref.	Conf.
1. OBSERVED RELEASE	0	0		<u>K</u>
2. ROUTE CHARACTERISTICS				
FACILITY SLOPE AND				•
INTERVENING TERRAIN	0	0		
1-YR., 24-HR. RAINFALL				<del></del>
DISTANCE TO NEAREST SURFACE WATER (×2)	44	4		
PHYSICAL STATE	3	3		
ROUTE CHARACT. SCORE =	9	9		
3. CONTAINMENT	3	3	Application of the second	<u>K</u>
4. WASTE CHARACTERISTICS:				
TOXICITY/PERSISTENCE	18	18		_K
HAZARDOUS WASTE QUANTITY	2	2		_K
WASTE CHARACT. SCORE =	20	20		<u>K</u>
5. TARGETS:				
SURFACE WATER USE (×3)	0	0		_K
DISTANCE TO A SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENT (×2)	0	0		_K
POPULATION SERVED/ DISTANCE TO DOWNSTREAM WATER INTAKE	0	0	<u> </u>	<u>K</u>
TOTAL TARGETS SCORE =	0	0		<u>K</u>
SURFACE WATER ROUTE SCORE =	0	0		<u>K</u>

# \*\*\*\*\*\*\*CONFIDENTIAL\*\*\*\*PRE-DECISIONAL DOCUMENT\*\*\*\*\*

# AIR ROUTE WORKSHEET

	Best Case	Worst Case	Ref.	Conf.
1. OBSERVED RELEASE	0	0		<u>K</u>
DATE AND LOCATION:				
2. WASTE CHARACTERISTICS:				
REACTIVITY AND INCOMPATIBILITY				
TOXICITY (×3)				
HAZARDOUS WASTE QUANTITY				
WASTE CHARACT. SCORE =				
3. TARGETS:	·			
POPULATION WITHIN 4 MILES	<del></del>			
DISTANCE TO SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENT (×2)				
LAND USE		***************************************		
TOTAL TARGETS SCORE =				
AIR ROUTE SCORE =		0		_K

### **CONCLUSIONS**

The preliminary assessment recommends No Further Action under CERCLA at the site. A preliminary screening of the HRS factors based on preremedial conditions indicates that the site appears unlikely to be eligible for inclusion on the National Priorities List for the following reasons:

- low waste quantity; and
- low potential for release to groundwater due to groundwater route characteristics.

### **EPA RECOMMENDATION**

~	<u>Initial</u>	<u>Date</u>
No Further Remedial Action Planned	<del></del>	
Medium Priority SSI		
High Priority SSI		

### \*\*\*\*\*\*CONFIDENTIAL\*\*\*\*PRE-DECISIONAL DOCUMENT\*\*\*\*\*

#### SITE REEVALUATION WORKSHEET

Site Name:

Protomold Mfg. CAD 982359101

EPA ID No.:

F9-8905-016

City:

San Jose

County:

Santa Clara

Site Evaluator:

Yoon K. Toh, ICF Technology, Incorporated

Date:

September 20, 1989

### POTENTIAL RELEASES

	X ]	Ground Water
[	]	Surface Water
[	]	Air
[	j	On-site/Direct Contact

SCORING SCENARIOS	Best Case	Worst Case
GROUND-WATER ROUTE SCORE (Sgw) =	32.20	46.05
SURFACE WATER ROUTE SCORE (Sw) =	0	0
AIR ROUTE SCORE (Sa) =	0	0
TOTAL SCORE (Sm) =	18.60	26.62

### PROPOSED REVISED HRS MODEL CONSIDERATIONS

GROUND-WATER ROUTE: An increase in migration route from three to four miles will not significantly change the target population.

SURFACE WATER ROUTE: There is no information indicating that a potential for a release via this route exists.

AIR ROUTE: There is no information indicating that a potential for a release via this route exists.

ON-SITE ROUTE: There is no information indicating that a potential for a release via this route exists.

# \*\*\*\*\*\*CONFIDENTIAL\*\*\*\*PRE-DECISIONAL DOCUMENT\*\*\*\*\*\*

### **GROUND-WATER ROUTE WORKSHEET**

	Best Case	Worst Case	Ref.	Conf.
1. OBSERVED RELEASE	0	0	· .	
2. ROUTE CHARACTERISTICS		•		
DEPTH TO AQUIFER OF CONCERN (×2)	4	4		
NET PRECIPITATION	2	2		
PERMEABILITY OF UNSATURATED ZONE	1	1		
PHYSICAL STATE	3	3		
ROUTE CHARACT. SCORE =	10	10		
3. CONTAINMENT	3	3		
4. WASTE CHARACTERISTICS:				
TOXICITY/PERSISTENCE	12	12		<u>K</u>
HAZARDOUS WASTE QUANTITY	2	2		<u>K</u>
WASTE CHARACT. SCORE =	14	14		<u>K</u>
5. TARGETS:				
GROUND-WATER USE (×3)	9	9		<u>K</u>
DISTANCE TO NEAREST WELL/ POPULATION SERVED	35	35		K
TOTAL TARGETS SCORE =	44	44		<u>K</u>
GROUND-WATER ROUTE SCORE =	32.20	46.05		_K

# \*\*\*\*\*\*\*CONFIDENTIAL\*\*\*\*\*PRE-DECISIONAL DOCUMENT\*\*\*\*\*\*

## SURFACE WATER ROUTE WORKSHEET

	Best Case	Worst Case	Ref.	Conf.
1. OBSERVED RELEASE	0	0		<u>K</u>
2. ROUTE CHARACTERISTICS				
FACILITY SLOPE AND INTERVENING TERRAIN	0	0		
1-YR., 24-HR. RAINFALL	2	2		
DISTANCE TO NEAREST SURFACE WATER (×2)	4	4		
PHYSICAL STATE	3	3		
ROUTE CHARACT. SCORE =	9	9		
3. CONTAINMENT	3	3		<u>K</u>
4. WASTE CHARACTERISTICS:				
TOXICITY/PERSISTENCE	18	18		_K
HAZARDOUS WASTE QUANTITY	2	2		<u>K</u>
WASTE CHARACT. SCORE =	20	20		_K
5. TARGETS:				
SURFACE WATER USE (×3)	0	0		<u>K</u>
DISTANCE TO A SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENT (×2)	0	0		_K
POPULATION SERVED/ DISTANCE TO DOWNSTREAM WATER INTAKE	0	0		<u>K</u>
TOTAL TARGETS SCORE =	0	0		<u>K</u>
SURFACE WATER ROUTE SCORE =	0	0		<u>K</u>

## \*\*\*\*\*\*CONFIDENTIAL\*\*\*\*PRE-DECISIONAL DOCUMENT\*\*\*\*\*\*

## AIR ROUTE WORKSHEET

	Best Case	Worst Case	Ref.	Conf.
1. OBSERVED RELEASE	0	0		<u>K</u>
DATE AND LOCATION:				
2. WASTE CHARACTERISTICS:				
REACTIVITY AND INCOMPATIBILITY				
TOXICITY (×3)				
HAZARDOUS WASTE QUANTITY			<del></del>	
WASTE CHARACT. SCORE =	<del></del>			<del> </del>
3. TARGETS:				
POPULATION WITHIN 4 MILES		***************************************	<del> </del>	
DISTANCE TO SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENT (×2)	******			
LAND USE				
TOTAL TARGETS SCORE =				<del></del>
AIR ROUTE SCORE =	0	0		K